

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pl

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,551	09/19/2003	David R. Cheriton	CIS0198US	4283
	7590 05/02/2007 TEPHENSON ASCOLE	EXAMINER		
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD.			PEESO, THOMAS R	
BLDG. 4, SUITE 201 AUSTIN, TX 78759		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,551	CHERITON, DAVID R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas R. Peeso	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-112 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,16,31,35-45,66,67,72-77,87 and 100-103 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-15,17-30,32-34,46-65,68-71,78-86,88-99 and 104-112 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19Sep2003 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Po 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,551

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTIONClaim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-4, 16, 31, 35-45, 66, 67, 72-77, 87, 100-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 7,103,420 to Brown et al.

As per claims 1-3, 41, 74, 87 and 100, Brown et al. disclose the limitations of these claims (see at least the abstract).

As per claims 4, 31, 35, 66, 67, 76 and 102, Brown et al. disclose these features (col. 5, lines 39-44).

As per claim 16, Brown et al. disclose this item (col. 5, lines 9-11).

As per claims 36-40, 72, 73, 77 and 103, Brown et al. further disclose these features (col. 9, lines 13-18).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,551

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 42, 75 and 101, Brown et al. also disclose this limitation (col. 5, lines 12-21).

As per claims 43-45, Brown et al. disclose these features (col. 7, lines 36-42).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-15, 17-30, 32-34, 46-65, 68-71, 78-86, 88-99, 104-112 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U. S. Patent No. 5,018, 079
- U. S. Patent No. 5,197,128
- U. S. Patent No. 5,293,466

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 571 272-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. The central fax number for the office is 571 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571 272-3799.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner

26 April 2007